

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/755,506 01/12/2004		Thomas J. McMurry	13498-005004 / MET-4	2434		
26191 7	590 01/06/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			HARTLEY, N	HARTLEY, MICHAEL G		
60 SOUTH SD	AUSCHER PLAZA XTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	IS, MN 55402		1616			

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/75	10/755,506 MCMURRY ET AL		- .		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
			el G. Hartley	1616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	dress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNISIONS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for repleply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timelom the mailing date of this considered timelom (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.		•		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 103-117 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 103-117 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restrict the content of	are withdrawn from					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🖂 .	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected t	-	= : :	*			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office actions.	or documents have to or documents have to of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT f	peen received. Deen received in Applic Deen receiments have been rece Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National	Stage		
Attachment	• •		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		_	al Patent Application (PTC	D-152)		

Art Unit: 1616

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification includes a figure (i.e., a graph) on page 21 and such figures are not permitted in the specification. Also, there is text on page 20 referring to the figure that should be deleted if the figure is deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 117 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 117 states "The pharmaceutical acceptable salt of claim 112" which is confusing because claim 112 is drawn to a "chelating ligand" and not a salt. It appears that this claim should be dependent on claim 116 to clanfy.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 103-117 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,676,929. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are both drawn to the same contrast agents having the same structures. For example, a salt of a diagnostic contrast agent is recited in dependent claim 10 of the patent as an alternative limitation which is present

Art Unit: 1616

in the independent claims of the present invention. Also, the present claims include specific salts in some claims. While these salts are not specifically recited in the patented claims, the patented claims do recite a salt thereof, and salt is defined in the specification as being the salts as now claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the specific salt as salt is defined by the specification and to elected either of the alternative limitations in patented claim 10 to arrive at claims that encompass those presented herein.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 5,094,848 is made of record for teaching chelating agents which are linked to targeting groups via a phosphodiester group.

The claims are free of the art of record. The '848 is made of record but fails to teach or suggest the species' as claimed having the various specific chemical moieties bound to the phosphodiester as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Hartley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0616. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu and Th-F, 7:30-4, Telework on Wed..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael G. Hartley Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1616

1/4/2005